

High disease activity influences the presence of vertebral fractures in rheumatoid arthritis

Sakane H¹, Okamura K¹, Iizuka Y², Honda A¹, Takasawa E¹, Mieda T¹, Yonemoto Y³, Suto T¹, Kaneko T³, Chikuda H¹

¹ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Gunma University Graduate School of Medicine;

² Department of Physical Therapy, Faculty of Health Care, Takasaki University of Health and

Welfare;

³ Department of Rheumatology, Inoue Hospital.

Correspondence to

Hideo Sakane

E-mail: hsakane0614@gmail.com

Submitted: 21/04/2024

Accepted: 01/07/2024

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as an 'Accepted Article'

© 2024 Portuguese Society of Rheumatology

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.



Abstract

Introduction: It is important to assess the risk of vertebral fractures (VFs) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), as RA is associated with a high risk of VFs. However, the epidemiology and risk of VFs in patients with RA remain inconclusive. The present study therefore clarified the prevalence and associated factors of VFs in patients with RA.

Methods: We included 107 patients (19 men and 88 women) and retrospectively investigated the number and location of VFs, bone mineral density (BMD), RA disease activity score for 28 joints based on C-reactive protein (DAS28-CRP), and history of medication for RA and osteoporosis. Based on the investigated items, we assessed the prevalence of VFs in patients with RA and the association between the clinical parameters of RA patients and VFs.

Results: The average age, disease duration, and DAS28-CRP were 67.9 years old, 14.9 years, and 2.2, respectively. We found that the prevalence of VFs in patients with RA was 30.8%, and 84.8% of patients with VFs and 62.2% of those without VFs had been treated for osteoporosis. We further found that the prevalence of VFs in patients with RA with a history of anti-osteoporotic agent use was 37.8%. In univariate analyses, patients with RA with VFs had significantly higher DAS28-CRP values, a higher rate of corticosteroid use, and lower BMD (p = 0.018, p = 0.004, and p < 0.001, respectively) than those without VFs. A multivariable logistic regression analysis and ordinal logistic analysis revealed that the DAS28-CRP and BMD were independent factors associated with the presence (p = 0.042 and p = 0.011, respectively) and number (p = 0.036 and p = 0.048, respectively) of VFs.

Conclusions: The prevalence of VFs was relatively high in patients with RA, regardless of the use of anti-osteoporotic agents. A high disease activity score and low BMD are associated with the presence and number of VFs in patients with RA. Based on these findings, to reduce VFs in RA patients, it is important to tightly control the disease activity of RA in addition to osteoporosis treatment.

Keywords: Anti-osteoporotic agent; Disease activity; Osteoporosis; Rheumatoid arthritis; Vertebral fracture.



Background

Patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) are more likely to have osteoporosis than the general population, and RA is considered a risk factor for fragility fractures, including vertebral fractures (VFs)¹⁻³. Inflammatory cytokines, activated osteoclasts, and steroids use have been reported as plausible explanations for osteoporosis in RA¹⁻⁴.

VFs are associated with a reduced functional status⁵⁻¹², and the presence of existing VFs is a risk factor for new VF and other fragile fractures^{13, 14}. Therefore, it is important to assess the risk of VFs, particularly in patients with RA.

The arrival of biological agents has dramatically changed the treatment strategy for RA and the use of biological agents has also been reported to influence bone mineral density (BMD) improvement¹⁵. However, the relationship between biological agents and VFs has not been sufficiently investigated, the assessment of the risk of VFs remains important in RA patients. Nevertheless, the epidemiology and risk factors for VFs in patients with RA have not been adequately elucidated. The present study therefore clarified the prevalence and associated factors of VFs in patients with RA.

This article was previously posted to the Research Square preprint server on January 7, 2024.

Materials and methods

Patients

1).

We retrospectively reviewed 426 patients with RA who were treated at an outpatient RA clinic at our university hospital between July 2017 and June 2020. All patients met the American College of Rheumatology classification criteria established in 1987¹⁶. Among them, we included 107 patients who underwent lateral radiography of the thoracolumbar spine and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA). Patients with a history of thoracolumbar surgery were excluded (Fig.

The research protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Gunma University Hospital (IRB No. HS2019-117), and written informed consent for participation was obtained from all patients.

The assessment of VFs

We assessed VFs from T4 to L5 using Genant's semiquantitative classification based on the last available lateral radiograph of the thoracolumbar spine¹⁷. Vertebral deformities were classified



into four grades: grade 0 (normal), grade 1 (mild), grade 2 (moderate), and grade 3 (severe) according to vertebral height reduction (vertebral height reductions of >20% to 25%, >25% to 40%, and >40%). Grade \geq 1 was classified as VF in this study. The number of VFs was categorized as 0, 1, 2, or \geq 3, according to a previous report¹⁴].

The measurement of the BMD

We measured the BMD of the femoral neck using DEXA (Discovery A System; Hologic, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), which was performed within 6 months of the VFs assessment. We also calculated T-scores based on normative data for Asian women.

Clinical parameters

We collected clinical data within three months of the VF assessment from medical records. The clinical data included the following: age, sex, body mass index (BMI), duration of RA, rheumatoid factor (RF), anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody (ACPA), C-reactive protein (CRP), tender joint count, swollen joint count, visual analog scale (VAS) of patients' global assessment, and use of medication for RA and osteoporosis for \geq 3 months. We further calculated the disease activity score for 28 joints based on C-reactive protein (DAS28-CRP) and the Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index (HAQ-DI) to assess the functional status of patients with RA.

Statistical analyses

We performed univariate and logistic regression analyses using the STATA/SE software program (version 15; StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare continuous data, and the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used for categorical variables. To identify associated factors for VFs in RA patients, a multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed with VFs as the dependent variable and the age, sex, BMI, history of corticosteroid use, history of biologic use, anti-osteoporotic agent use, DAS28-CRP, and BMD as independent variables. To identify factors associated with the number of VFs in patients with RA, an ordinal logistic regression analysis was also performed with the categorized number of VFs as the dependent variable. Statistical significance was set at P <0.05. The observed power of the findings was calculated using a post-hoc power analysis with alpha = 0.05 representing the statistical power.



Results

The demographics and clinical characteristics of the patients with RA in the study population are shown in Table I. Of the 107 patients with RA, 19 were men, and 88 were women. The mean age was 67.9 years old. The average disease duration was 14.9 years, and the average DAS28-CRP level was 2.2.

At the time of the VF assessment, 74 patients (69.2%) had a history of anti-osteoporotic agent use. A total of 95 VFs were identified in 33 patients (30.8%). The VFs showed a bimodal distribution, with peaks at the middle thoracic spine and thoracolumbar junction (Fig. 2). Of the 33 patients with VF, 28 (84.8%) were being treated for osteoporosis. Among those with a history of anti-osteoporotic agent use, 37.8% (28/74) had VFs.

As shown in Table II, in patients with VFs, the DAS28-CRP and the prevalence of a history of corticosteroid use were significantly higher (p = 0.018 and p = 0.004, respectively) and the BMD was significantly lower (p < 0.001) than in patients without VFs.

A multivariable logistic regression analysis revealed that the DAS28-CRP (odds ratio [OR]: 1.816, p = 0.042, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.023-3.224) and BMD (OR: 0.358, p = 0.011, 95% CI: 0.161-0.794) were statistically significant factors for VFs in RA patients (Table III). An ordinal logistic regression analysis revealed that the DAS28-CRP (OR: 1.766, p = 0.036, 95% CI: 1.039-3.000) and BMD (OR: 0.512, p = 0.048, 95% CI: 0.263-0.994) were statistically significant factors associated with the number of VFs in RA patients (Table IV).

Discussion

Our study has two main findings. First, we determined the prevalence of VFs in patients with RA (30.8%) and found that the prevalence remained almost the same (37.8%), even when patients with RA had a history of guideline-recommended anti-osteoporotic agent use. Second, a high DAS28-CRP and low BMD were independent factors associated with the presence and number of VFs.

The prevalence of VFs in patients with RA has been reported to be 13%-45.5%, which is higher than that in the general population or in the control group^{4, 18-23}. In our study, the prevalence of VFs in patients with RA was consistent with that reported previously. In patients with VFs, the prevalence of a history of anti-osteoporotic agent use were significantly higher in the univariate analysis. The reason for this result in the univariate analysis is probably because the majority of VF patients with a history of anti-osteoporotic agent use began taking the medication after



VF was diagnosed. Further research is needed on the relationship between the presence of VFs and osteoporosis treatment.

Some studies have reported a relationship between VFs and a high disease activity in RA patients^{21, 23, 24}. In line with previous studies, RA disease activity was found to be a risk factor for VFs in patients with RA in this study. Thus, this result suggests that it is important not only to use anti-osteoporotic agents but also to reduce RA disease activity for the prevention of VFs in RA patients.

In our study, low BMD were independent factors associated with the presence and number of VFs. Although there is certainly evidence in the general population that low BMD is a risk factor for VFs^{14, 25}, there is still no consensus regarding the relationship between BMD and VFs in RA patients. While there are several papers showing results indicating that low BMD was a risk factor for VFs in RA patients^{4, 18, 24}, Başkan BM et al. reported that low BMD is not related to a risk factor for VFs²⁶. Therefore, we believe that this study can contribute to the accumulation of evidence on this issue although further research is needed in the future.

In our study, the use of corticosteroids was a significant factor for VFs in the univariate analysis; however, it was not identified as an independent factor associated with VFs after adjusting for confounders in multivariable logistic regression analyses. The discrepancy between the univariate analysis and the logistic regression analysis may be attributed to the potential confounding effects of other variables. The confounding effects of other variables such as age, sex, disease activity, and BMD could have acted as confounding factors for both vertebral fracture and corticosteroid use, leading to the loss of significance for corticosteroid use in the multivariable model. There are several previous studies that have found no significant association between the use of corticosteroids and VFs in RA patients^{23, 24}. In line with our findings, El Maghraoui A et al. reported a significant association between the use of corticosteroids and VFs in univariate analysis, but no significant association in multivariate analysis. In patients with long-term RA, corticosteroids can reduce inflammation, increase activity, and prevent VF²⁴. Ausaf Mohammad et al. also reported that no association between the use of corticosteroids and VFs was found. In this study, VFs in RA patients are not related to corticosteroid use, but are instead influenced by low bone density presumably due to chronic inflammation²³. On the other hand, the use of corticosteroids has also been reported to affect VFs^{4, 18, 19, 21}, so further research is needed regarding corticosteroids and VFs.

Anti-rheumatic biological drugs have been reported to prevent bone loss in RA patients²⁷, and a previous study found that tumor necrosis factor inhibitors were associated with a reduced incidence of VF²⁸. In contrast, other reports have demonstrated that biological agents do not



contribute to increased BMD or reduce the risk of fractures²⁹. However, these references investigated the incidence of VFs and BMD change after starting anti-rheumatic biological the drug, which differs from our study, which investigated the prevalence of VFs and BMD at the time of survey, we found no significant association between the use of biologics and VFs in this study.

Several limitations associated with the present study warrant mention. First, there may have been some selection bias, as this was a retrospective study. In this study, we only included patients who underwent an assessment of VFs and osteoporosis. Second, we lacked baseline data on VFs in patients with RA. It is possible that the presence of VFs before the diagnosis of RA influenced the results of this study. However, given the age of onset of RA, we assume that the majority of VFs in this study occurred after the diagnosis of RA, since the prevalence of VFs in the Japanese general population under 60 years old is <10%¹². Further prospective studies are needed on this point. Third, the study population was relatively small. Thus, the statistical power may have been associated with some non-significant results or some of the investigated factors. Despite these limitations, we believe that this study provides significant information regarding the treatment strategy for RA.

Conclusions

We retrospectively investigated the number and location of VFs, BMD, the RA disease activity score, and a history of RA and osteoporosis medication. Furthermore, we assessed the prevalence of VFs in patients with RA and the association between the clinical parameters of RA patients and VFs. We found that the prevalence of VFs in patients with RA was relatively high, regardless of the use of anti-osteoporotic agents. The presence and number of VFs in RA patients were associated with a high disease activity and low BMD. Based on these findings, to reduce VFs in RA patients, it is important to tightly control the disease activity of RA in addition to osteoporosis treatment.



Tables and Figures

N=107	Value
Age (years), mean ± SD	67.9 ± 10.9
Female, n (%)	88 (82.2)
BMI (kg/m²), mean ± SD	23.3 ± 3.9
Disease duration (years), mean ± SD	14.9 ± 11.2
RF positivity, %	69.3
ACPA positivity, %	77.6
Steinbrocker class (1/2/3/4)	17/65/24/1
Steinbrocker stage (I / II / III / IV)	14/21/39/33
Tender joint count, 28 joints	1.6 ± 3.0
Swollen joint count, 28 joints	1.0 ± 2.8
Global assessment score	22.7 ± 24.7
CRP (mg/dl)	0.4 ± 0.5
DAS28-CRP	2.2 ± 1.0
MTX use, n (%)	85 (79.4)
History of corticosteroid use, n (%)	66 (61.7)
Current users, n	47
Daily dose for current users (mg/day), mean ± SD	3.9 ± 2.4
Long users (≥12months), n	61
History of biologic agent use, n (%)	61 (57.0)
TNF inhibitor, n	46
Tocilizumab, n	26
Abatacept, n	17
Anti-osteoporotic agent use, n (%)	74 (69.2)

Table I. Characteristics of the RA patients in the study population



Activate vitamin D3, n	56
Bisphosphonate, n	41
Denosumab, n	22
Prevalence of vertebral fracture, n (%)	33 (30.8)
Number of vertebral fractures	
1, n	15
2, n	10
≥3, n	8
BMD (T-score at femoral neck)	-2.0 ± 1.0
HAQ-DI	0.8±0.8

ACPA, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody; BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; DAS28-CRP, disease activity score for 28 joints based on C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HAQ-DI, Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index; MTX, methotrexate; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RF, rheumatoid factor; SD, standard deviation; TNF, tumor necrosis factor



	without vertebral	with vertebral		Observed
	fractures (n=74)	fractures (n=33)	<i>p</i> value	power
	67.0 + 11.9	70.2 + 9.5	0.254	20.02%
Age, years	07.0±11.8	70.2 ± 8.5	0.254	30.02%
Female, n (%)	61 (82.4)	27 (81.8)	0.939	5.30%
BMI, kg/m²	23.5 ± 4.0	23.0 ± 3.6	0.791	8.25%
Disease duration of RA, years	13.8 ± 10.4	17.1 ± 12.5	0.248	26.38%
Tender joint count, 28 joints	1.1 ± 1.8	2.7 ± 4.6	0.155	54.75%
Swollen joint count, 28 joints	0.8 ± 2.8	1.3 ± 2.6	0.351	12.15%
Global assessment score	19.2 ± 20.7	31.0 ± 31.2	0.190	54.28%
CRP, mg/dl	0.31 ± 0.53	0.45 ± 0.57	0.216	21.83%
DAS28-CRP	2.1 ± 0.9	2.7 ± 1.2	0.018	78.30%
History of corticosteroid use, n (%)	39 (52.7)	27 (81.8)	0.004	99.99%
History of biologic agent use, n (%)	38 (51.4)	23 (69.7)	0.078	97.57%
Anti-osteoporotic agent use, n (%)	46 (62.2)	28 (84.8)	0.020	99.84%
Activate vitamin D3, n	34	22		
Bisphosphonate, n	24	17		
Denosumab, n	12	10		
BMD (T-score at femoral neck)	-1.7 ± 1.0	-2.5 ± 0.9	< 0.001	95.93%
HAQ-DI	0.6 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 1.0	0.111	57.36%

Table II. Demographic and clinical parameters of RA patients with and without vertebral fractures

BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; DAS28-CRP, disease activity score for 28 joints based on C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HAQ-DI, Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index; RA, rheumatoid arthritis.



	OR	95% CI	p value
Age	0.989	0.931-1.052	0.732
Female	2.384	0.513-11.081	0.268
BMI	1.063	0.919-1.229	0.411
History of corticosteroid use	0.622	0.141-2.738	0.530
History of biologic agent use	1.594	0.477-5.332	0.449
Anti-osteoporotic agent use	4.624	0.743-28.764	0.101
DAS28-CRP	1.816	1.023-3.224	0.042
BMD (T-score at femoral neck)	0.358	0.161-0.794	0.011

Table III. Logistic regression model of factors associated with vertebral fractures in RA patients

BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; DAS28, disease activity score for 28 joints based on C-reactive protein; OR, Odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; RA, rheumatoid arthritis.

Table IV. Ordinal logistic regression model of factors associated with the number of vertebral fractures in RA patients

	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> value
Age	1.017	0.962-1.076	0.550
Female	2.404	0.529-10.920	0.256
вмі	1.023	0.896-1.168	0.734
History of corticosteroid use	0.706	0.175-2.855	0.625
History of biologic agent use	1.566	0.518-4.733	0.427
Anti-osteoporotic agent use	4.652	0.735-29.460	0.103
DAS28-CRP	1.766	1.039-3.000	0.036
BMD (T-score at femoral neck)	0.512	0.263-0.994	0.048

BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; DAS28-CRP, disease activity score for 28 joints based on C-reactive protein; OR, Odds ratio; RA, rheumatoid arthritis.



-,0





ACR, American Rheumatism Association; RA, rheumatoid arthritis



C^K



Figure 2. Number of vertebral fractures in patients with rheumatoid arthritis



References

1. Haugeberg G, Uhlig T, Falch JA, Halse JI, Kvien TK. Bone mineral density and frequency of osteoporosis in female patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results from 394 patients in the Oslo County Rheumatoid Arthritis register. Arthritis Rheum 2000; 43: 522-530. https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(200003)43:3<522::AID-ANR7>3.0.CO;2-Y

 van Staa TP, Geusens P, Bijlsma JW, Leufkens HG, Cooper C. Clinical assessment of the longterm risk of fracture in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2006; 54: 3104-3112. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/art.22117</u>

3. Wright NC, Lisse JR, Walitt BT, Eaton CB, Chen Z; Women's Health Initiative Investigators. Arthritis increases the risk for fractures--results from the Women's Health Initiative. J Rheumatol 2011; 38: 1680-1688. <u>https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.101196</u>

4. Ørstavik RE, Haugeberg G, Mowinckel P, et al. Vertebral deformities in rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison with population-based controls. Arch Intern Med. 2004; 164: 420-425. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.164.4.420</u>

5. Nampei A, Hashimoto J, Koyanagi J, et al. Characteristics of fracture and related factors in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Mod Rheumatol 2008; 18: 170-176. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10165-008-0032-5</u>

6. Furuya T, Kotake S, Inoue E, et al. Risk factors associated with incident fractures in Japanese men with rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective observational cohort study. J Bone Miner Metab 2008; 26: 499-505. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-007-0836-y</u>

7. Dirven L, van den Broek M, van Groenendael JH, et al. Prevalence of vertebral fractures in a disease activity steered cohort of patients with early active rheumatoid arthritis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2012; 13: 125. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-13-125</u>



8. Ishida O, Furuya T, Inoue E, et al. Risk factors for established vertebral fractures in Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis: Results from a large prospective observational cohort study. Mod Rheumatol 2015; 25: 373-378. <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/14397595.2015.1004276</u>

9. Omata Y, Hagiwara F, Nishino J, et al. Vertebral fractures affect functional status in postmenopausal rheumatoid arthritis patients. J Bone Miner Metab 2014; 32: 725-731. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-013-0552-8

10. Cho SK, Lee JH, Han M, et al. The influence of vertebral fracture on the functional disability of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Korean Med Sci 2014; 29: 859-863. https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2014.29.6.859

11. Phuan-Udom R, Lektrakul N, Katchamart W. The association between 10-year fracture risk by FRAX and osteoporotic fractures with disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol 2018; 37: 2603-2610. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-018-4218-8

12. Horii C, Asai Y, Iidaka T, et al. Differences in prevalence and associated factors between mild and severe vertebral fractures in Japanese men and women: the third survey of the ROAD study. J Bone Miner Metab 2019; 37: 844-853. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-018-0981-5</u>

13. Lindsay R, Silverman SL, Cooper C, et al. Risk of new vertebral fracture in the year following a fracture. JAMA 2001; 285: 320-323. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.285.3.320</u>

14. Delmas PD, Genant HK, Crans GG, et al. Severity of prevalent vertebral fractures and the risk of subsequent vertebral and nonvertebral fractures: results from the MORE trial. Bone 2003; 33: 522-532. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S8756-3282(03)00241-2</u>

15. Zerbini CAF, Clark P, Mendez-Sanchez L, et al. Biologic therapies and bone loss in rheumatoid arthritis. Osteoporos Int 2017; 28: 429-446. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-016-3769-2</u>



16. Arnett FC, Edworthy SM, Bloch DA, et al. The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1988; 31: 315-324. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/art.1780310302</u>

17. Genant HK, Wu CY, van Kuijk C, Nevitt MC. Vertebral fracture assessment using a semiquantitative technique. J Bone Miner Res 1993; 8: 1137-1148. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.5650080915

18. Ørstavik RE, Haugeberg G, Uhlig T, et al. Vertebral deformities in 229 female patients with rheumatoid arthritis: associations with clinical variables and bone mineral density. Arthritis Rheum 2003; 49: 355-360. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/art.11118</u>

19. Tong JJ, Xu SQ, Zong HX, Pan MJ, Teng YZ, Xu JH. Prevalence and risk factors associated with vertebral osteoporotic fractures in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol 2020; 39: 357-364. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-019-04787-9</u>

20. Okano T, Inui K, Tada M, et al. High frequency of vertebral fracture and low bone quality in patients with rheumatoid arthritis-Results from TOMORROW study. Mod Rheumatol 2017; 27: 398-404. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/14397595.2016.1213943</u>

21. Guañabens N, Olmos JM, Hernández JL, et al. Vertebral fractures are increased in rheumatoid arthritis despite recent therapeutic advances: a case-control study. Osteoporos Int 2021; 32: 1333-1342. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-021-05824-7</u>

22. Kishimoto Y, Kato Y, Uemura M, Kuranobu K. Incidence and predictors of fragility fracture in postmenopausal rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving oral bisphosphonates: a longitudinal observational study. BMC Rheumatol 2022; 6: 8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s41927-021-00243-x</u>

23. Mohammad A, Lohan D, Bergin D, et al. The prevalence of vertebral fracture on vertebral fracture assessment imaging in a large cohort of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2014; 53: 821-827. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/ket353</u>



24. El Maghraoui A, Rezqi A, Mounach A, Achemlal L, Bezza A, Ghozlani I. Prevalence and risk factors of vertebral fractures in women with rheumatoid arthritis using vertebral fracture assessment. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2010; 49: 1303-1310. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keq084

25. Cauley JA, Zmuda JM, Wisniewski SR, et al. Bone mineral density and prevalent vertebral fractures in men and women. Osteoporos Int 2004; 15: 32-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-003-1462-8</u>

26. Başkan BM, Sivas F, Alemdaroğlu E, Duran S, Ozoran K. Association of bone mineral density and vertebral deformity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatol Int 2007; 27: 579-84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-007-0323-8</u>

27. Chen JF, Hsu CY, Yu SF, et al. The impact of long-term biologics/target therapy on bone mineral density in rheumatoid arthritis: a propensity score-matched analysis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2020; 59: 2471-2480. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kez655</u>

28. Ozen G, Pedro S, Wolfe F, Michaud K. Medications associated with fracture risk in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2019; 78: 1041-1047. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215328

29. Lee JS, Lim DH, Oh JS, et al. Effect of TNF inhibitors on bone mineral density in rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving bisphosphonate: a retrospective cohort study. Rheumatol Int 2020; 40: 481-487. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-019-04418-1</u>